Theia Topics in Upcoming DUNE Phase 2 Meetings

Mike Wilking Theia LBL Meeting June 9th, 2023

DUNE Phase 2 Meetings

- Next Monday, June 12th at 8am CDT, Michael Wurm will present the ongoing R&D WbLS effort toward Theia
 - Indico: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/60172/</u>
 - Zoom: <u>https://fnal.zoom.us/my/stefansoldner?pwd=M1dBUDFFSHNHbjVqUmJzNlgwTVU4dz09</u>
- Starting June 20th, the 3-day DUNE Phase 2 ND Workshop will begin at Imperial College, London
 - https://indico.fnal.gov/event/58795/
- There will be several talks that potentially include Theia-related content
 - SAND Detector Phase 2 (Alessandro Montanari)
 - Exploring Nucleons and Nuclei with SAND (Roberto Petti)
 - WbLS targets in the ND-GAr ECAL (M. Wilking)
 - DUNE-PRISM for Phase 2 (M. Wilking)
 - This will include a discussion of the potential hall expansion

Near Detector Concepts

- Several concepts are possible with varying levels of complexity
- SAND already exists, so adding targets for studying WbLS nuclei is possible
 - On-axis only, but this can constrain extended xsec models
- ND-GAr is a primary goal for a DUNE Phase-2 ND
 - Adding WbLS targets in the upstream ECAL is possible
- The extended near detector hall can potentially accommodate more detectors
 - TMS can then be retained instead of scrapped when ND-GAr is installed
 - A new, dedicated detector can be installed upstream of TMS



WbLS Inside ND-GAr ECAL

- WbLS layers would need to track X & Y positions
 - Optically segmented X & Y bars or 3D cubes
 - Or perhaps a non-segmented LiquidO detector with X & Y fibers
- A few cm WbLS layers provides ~1 ton of target mass
 - A few tons of WbLS in a 2.4 MW beam would produce:
 - ~1M v_{μ} -CC events per year on-axis (14 week run)
 - ~100k v_µ-CC events per year 8m off-axis (2 week run)
 - ~10k v_u-CC events per year 28m off-axis (2 week run)
- Additional benefit: variation in detector configurations allows for sampling all of the muon angle phase space
 - The lack of muon acceptance near 90° was an important limitation of the T2K FGD+TPC configuration





A Dedicated Theia Near Detector

- If the long dimension of the ND Hall can be slightly extended, it may be possible to install a dedicated Theia ND
 - The new alcove need not extend to the full cavern height; just tall enough to insert the detectors
 - This end of the cavern will be mostly bare rock with almost no utilities
- The disassembly of TMS is very time consuming and may delay the installation of ND-GAr
 - Instead, TMS could be reused as the muon catcher for a Theia ND



A Dedicated Theia Near Detector II

- If hall modification proves to be too difficult, one could also consider placing the additional detectors off-axis
 - After O(10) years of DUNE operation, will far-off-axis LAr measurements still be needed?
 - In this configuration, Theia ND would never be on-axis
 - In the current LAr PRISM analysis, brief horn current variations are used to access higher energies
 - Further study would be needed to assess the physics reach of this configuration



Status / Next Steps

- At the collaboration meeting, it was made clear that some people have already decided that the technology choice (LAr over WbLS) should not wait for a discussion of the physics capabilities of the proposed detectors
 - We will have to have clear, well-motivated presentations, as we will certainly face opposition in these meetings
 - (e.g. comments like "Theia will cost \$500M" were made, so we should decide how to address such comments)
- ND Hall expansion will also be controversial (and met with skepticism), so we'll have to demonstrate that this is plausible
 - The ND subproject has been supporting these inquiries, and have been very helpful with providing critical information to address this issue
 - Related to this, I have a meeting on Monday with the heads of the Near Site Conventional Facilities (Tom Hamernik and Kennedy Hartsfield)
 - Hopefully, some publicly shareable comments can be generated from this discussion to identify plausible paths toward an ND hall upgrade

Backup

FD Module of Opportunity

- DUNE Phase 2 goal is to produce 2 new FDs, upgrade the beam, and upgrade the ND
 - Ongoing US P5 process may end up weighing in on these elements with regard to US funding
- Additional funding sources / new collaborators can substantially improve the odds of completing DUNE Phase 2
 - A WbLS detector (Theia) would grow the collaboration and accessible funding sources
- The various proposed enhancements for DUNE Phase 2 all involve improving our access to low energy physics
 - However, many challenges exist to reach low background levels in a future LAr detector
 - \circ Theia is specifically designed for low-E physics and will broaden our physics program (DSNB, SN burst, solar CNO, 0vββ, ...) and provide a complementary target nucleus + event reconstruction for the LBL program
- New FD detector technologies require new ND capabilities







WbLS Near Detector Considerations

- A key component of LAr detectors is hadron calorimetry
 - Neutrino energy is the sum of the reconstructed lepton energy and the (corrected) deposited hadronic energy
- For water Cherenkov detectors, E_v reconstruction is performed with above-Cherenkov particles
 - The Theia LBL sensitivity studies were performed without utilizing scintillation light
- The primary requirement for a Theia near detector is to measure above-Cherenkov-threshold particles
 - This is the approach used for the primary T2K / Hyper-K near detector
 - Additional external measurements of Cherenkov/scintillation ratio may be helpful
 - Large R&D program with several WbLS detectors is currently underway





C/O/H Targets in SAND

- (See previous talks from R. Petti)
 - <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/53965/contributions/258159/atta</u> <u>chments/163384/216262/DUNEND-26Jan22-NDnonAr.pdf</u>
 - <u>https://conferences.lbl.gov/event/1227/contributions/7036/att</u> achments/4526/4080/Theia-17Mar23-STTnonAr.pdf
- Identical layers of different target nuclei produce event samples that can be simultaneously fit to constrain differential nuclear effects
 - This approach was tried in T2K with some success (~30% reduction in neutrino cross section uncertainties)
 - SAND should do better, due to more precise tracking, better resolution, better acceptance, and much higher statistics

Target	CP optimized FHC (1.2MW, 2y)				CP optimized RHC (1.2MW, 2y)			
	$ u_{\mu}$ CC	$ar{ u}_{\mu}$ CC	$\nu_e \ CC$	$\bar{ u}_e$ CC	$ u_{\mu}$ CC	$ar{ u}_{\mu}$ CC	$\nu_e \ CC$	$\bar{ u}_e$ CC
CH_2	13,010,337	624,330	192,118	31,902	2,035,973	4,870,562	91,004	69,278
Н	1,222,576	111,574	<i>18,396</i>	5,557	194,216	906,130	8,712	12,434
С	1,547,011	67,294	22,799	3,458	241,710	520,287	10,800	7,460
Ar	3,114,331	121,506	46,384	6,503	480,862	936,489	21,932	13,867
Pb	62,127,600	2,507,940	923,012	130,680	10,375,400	18,222,200	437,284	265,304

NOTE: 100 kt-MW-years in Phase I FD corresponds to about 2y FHC + 2y RHC with 1.2 MW beam





ND-GAr "Thin" Upstream ECAL

- The ND-GAr ECAL is most needed in the downstream direction
- The upstream portion has been redesigned to be "thin"
 - The thickness requirement of the ECAL as a function of angle is not yet fixed
- WbLS layers placed in the downstream portion of the upstream ECAL can serve as initial ECAL layers (and constitute <1 radiation length)

ND-GAr ECAL Design Evolution

https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50217/contributions/241513/at tachments/155287/202160/220517_DUNE_CM_Talk_EC AL_Concepts.pdf

EVOLUTION

T2K Fine-Grained Detector (FGD2)

- T2K already employs water targets embedded within X & Y layers of scintillator bars
 - This reduced T2K's neutrino interaction uncertainties on water by ~30%
- One of the most important detector uncertainties is disentangling events occurring within water to events occurring in adjacent scintillator layers
- The key difference using WbLS is the water layers themselves can be instrumented
 - Surrounding scintillator layers are no longer a strict requirement
 - Must ensure a sufficient light yield to record MIPs



7 xy + 6 water modules 192x2 bars/layer



Phys. Rev. D 101, 11 (2020) pp.112004

Summary

- Several near detector options exist for a non-Ar 4th FD module
- Least complex: nuclear targets in SAND
 - Pros: straightforward to implement C/O/H targets and/or water targets
 - Cons: no additional handle on Erec vs Etrue from off-axis measurements
- More complex: WbLS layers in the ND-GAr ECAL
 - Pros: provides off-axis data and excellent tracking
 - Cons: must balance with ECAL performance; lower event rates must be studied
- Most complex: A new, dedicated near detector that retains TMS as a muon catcher (ideally with a slight modification to the rock wall)
 - Pros: can be designed for high statistics measurements off-axis; reuse TMS when ND-GAr is installed
 - Cons:
- There are many ND solutions to explore which would enable LBL measurements with a complementary target nucleus and detector technology
- If the LBL sensitivity can be demonstrated, a Theia far detector would broaden DUNE's physics program (after the first ~10 years of running) to include a variety of interesting low-E physics phenomena

Near Detector Considerations

- Near detectors are an essential element of any LBL analysis
 - Measurements on the same nuclear target(s) as the far detector are required
- DUNE ND is currently designed around Ar
 - ND-LAr TPC: v-Target w/ similar technology to LAr far detectors
 - TMS: Spectrometer for muons escaping ND-LAr
 - PRISM: ND-LAr + TMS move off-axis to sample a variety of E_v
 - SAND: Beam monitor

DUNE Near Detector Hall







The near detector hall for DUNE Phase 1 is at "100% final design" (i.e. changes to the hall at this point would be very difficult)

Cavern Layout

- Red line: beam axis
- Off-axis travel direction is left-to-right
- 2 pairs of rails for ND-LAr and TMS
- 2 sets of "cross rails" (along the beam direction) for SAND
 - SAND can move between the TMS and ND-LAr rails
- The main shaft, elevator, and equipment mezzanines are on the right side



Detector Choreography

- The rail structure is designed to allow SAND to be installed at almost any time
- TMS and ND-LAr can move (via the PRISM system)
 - ND-LAr can temporarily move under the 60 ton crane coverage
 - TMS can temporarily move under the shaft
- Significant flexibility to accommodate a variety of installation scenarios



ND-GAr

- DUNE Phase 2 includes plans for an upgraded near detector
- The main option discussed so far is a high-pressure Ar gas TPC in place of TMS
 - Lowers the momentum threshold for detecting particles escaping the Ar nucleus
 - $\circ \quad \mbox{Cleaner measurements of multi-particle} \\ \mbox{final states (e.g. reduces π^+ scattering,} \\ \mbox{γ-conversions, etc.)}$
- This detector still must function as a muon catcher for ND-LAr
 - Goal is to minimize dead material between ND-LAr and ND-GAr



Super-FGD -> WbLS cubes?

- The Super-FGD currently being constructed for T2K consists of 1 cm³ scintillator cubes
- Can we incorporate WbLS cubes into a Super-FGD structure for DUNE?
 - For either a dedicated detector or for embedding into ND-GAr?



DUNE FD-TDR Cross Section Model

- Uncertainties included for:
 - Exclusive interactions (QE, Res, SIS/DIS)
 - Final state interactions (FSI)
 - Nuclear effects (RPA, 2p2h)
 - Flavor ratios (v_/anti-v_)
- A similar set of uncertainties will be needed for C/O/H
 - Fortunately, these nuclei have been studied more extensively
 - Specific expertise in v-N modeling and GENIE is needed (& communication with DUNE DIRT2/NIUWG)

GENIE Xsec Parameters

Description	1σ
Quasielastic	
$M_{\rm A}^{\rm QE}$, Axial mass for CCQE	$^{+0.25}_{-0.15}~{ m GeV}$
QE FF, CCQE vector form factor shape	N/A
$p_{\rm F}$ Fermi surface momentum for Pauli blocking	$\pm 30\%$
Low W	
M_{Λ}^{RES} , Axial mass for CC resonance	$\pm 0.05 ~{\rm GeV}$
$M_V^{\rm RES}$ Vector mass for CC resonance	$\pm 10\%$
Δ -decay ang., θ_{π} from Δ decay (isotropic \rightarrow R-S)	N/A
High W (BY model)	
$A_{\rm HT}$, higher-twist in scaling variable ξ_w	$\pm 25\%$
$B_{\rm HT},$ higher-twist in scaling variable ξ_w	$\pm 25\%$
$C_{\rm V1u},$ valence GRV98 PDF correction	$\pm 30\%$
C_{V2u} , valence GRV98 PDF correction	$\pm 40\%$
Other neutral current	
$M_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{NCRES}}$, Axial mass for NC resonance	$\pm 10\%$
$M_V^{\rm NCRES}$, Vector mass for NC resonance	$\pm 5\%$

Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 978 (2020)

GENIE FSI Parameters

Description	1σ
N. CEX, Nucleon charge exchange probability	$\pm 50\%$
N. EL, Nucleon elastic reaction probability	$\pm 30\%$
N. INEL, Nucleon inelastic reaction probability	$\pm 40\%$
N. ABS, Nucleon absorption probability	$\pm 20\%$
N. PROD, Nucleon π -production probability	$\pm 20\%$
π CEX, π charge exchange probability	$\pm 50\%$
π EL, π elastic reaction probability	$\pm 10\%$
π INEL, π in clastic reaction probability	$\pm 40\%$
π ABS, π absorption probability	$\pm 20\%$
π PROD, π $\pi\text{-production}$ probability	$\pm 20\%$

Additional Xsec Parameters

Uncertainty	Mode
BcRPA $[A,B,D]$	$1p1h/\mathrm{QE}$
$\operatorname{ArC2p2h}\left[\nu,\bar{\nu}\right]$	2p2h
E_{2p2h} [A,B] $[\nu,\bar{\nu}]$	2p2h
NR $[\nu, \bar{\nu}]$ [CC,NC] [n,p] $[1\pi, 2\pi, 3\pi]$	Non-res. pion
$\nu_e \text{ PS}$	$\nu_e, \overline{\nu}_e$ inclusive
$\nu_e/\overline{\nu}_e$ norm	$\nu_e, \overline{\nu}_e$ inclusive
NC norm.	NC



ND-LAr Assembly Process Overview



